



# UNDERSTATEMENT PENALTIES: WHAT IS A BONA FIDE INADVERTENT ERROR?

By Robyn Armstrong, Associate

## LEGAL BRIEF AUGUST 2017

### INTRODUCTION

The Tax Administration Act No 28 of 2011 (TAA) introduced the understatement penalty regime with effect from 1 October 2012. Under this regime, penalties would be levied against taxpayers for an understatement, usually ranging from 10% - 150%. In terms of section 221 of the TAA, an "understatement" means any prejudice to SARS in respect of a tax period as a result of a default in rendering a return, an omission for a return, an incorrect statement in a return, failure to pay the correct amount of tax where no return is required or an impermissible avoidance arrangement. Section 222(1) provides, however, that such understatement penalty would not be payable if it arose due to a bona fide inadvertent error.

### BONA FIDE INADVERTENT ERROR

The term "bona fide inadvertent error" is not defined in the legislation. The Draft Memorandum on the Objects of the Draft Tax Administration Laws Amendment Bill 2013 (TALAB 2013) set out several factors that SARS would have regard to in assessing if an error was a bona fide inadvertent error. However, the final Memorandum on the Objects of the TALAB 2013 did not include the list of factors and instead stated that "due to the broad range of possible errors, the

*proposal to define the term "bona fide inadvertent error" has the potential to inadvertently exclude deserving cases and include undeserving cases. SARS will, however, develop guidance in this regard for the use of taxpayers and SARS officials."*

Such guidance has yet to be issued and in November 2016 it fell to the Tax Court to shed some light on when an error is regarded as bona fide and inadvertent. In the case of ABC Holdings (Pty) Ltd v The Commissioner for the South African Revenue Service (IT113772), the court was tasked with determining, *inter alia*, whether the Commissioner was correct to levy an understatement penalty on a taxpayer which incorrectly claimed a deduction in terms of section 24C of the Income Tax Act, 1962. The taxpayer submitted that the incorrect deduction was a bona fide inadvertent error. In determining what constitutes a bona fide inadvertent error, the court looked to the ordinary meaning of the words:

*"[44] According to the Oxford Dictionary the origin of the word 'bona fide' is Latin and literally means 'with good faith'. The word is also defined as 'genuine'; 'real'; 'without intention to deceive'. 'Inadvertent' is defined as 'not resulting from' or 'achieved through deliberate planning'. The Merriam-Webster Online Dictionary gives the following as some of the synonyms for the word inadvertent: 'accidental', 'unintentional', 'unintended', 'unpremeditated', 'unplanned' and 'unwitting'. Error is defined by the Oxford Dictionary as 'a mistake'. It also gives the following synonyms: 'the state or condition of being wrong in conduct or judgement'.*

*[45] It follows from the above that the bona fide inadvertent error has to be an innocent misstatement by a taxpayer on his or her return, resulting in an understatement, while acting in good faith and without the intention to deceive."*

The court noted that the taxpayer had relied on an opinion provided by a tax practitioner and the advice of its external accountant. These factors assisted the court in concluding that the taxpayer acted in good faith, with no intention to deceive. Accordingly, the court held that the understatement penalty must be remitted.

## CONCLUSION

Section 102 of the TAA states that the burden of proving the facts on which SARS bases the imposition of an understatement penalty, is upon SARS. SARS is therefore obliged to prove the bad faith or intent to claim an undue tax benefit. In this regard, it is clear from the *ABC Holdings* case that showing one relied on professional advice goes a long way in evidencing acting in good faith and having no intention to deceive, once again highlighting the importance of always seeking professional tax advice.

Legal notice: Nothing in this publication should be construed as legal advice from any lawyer or this firm. Readers are advised to consult professional legal advisers for guidance on legislation which may affect their businesses.

© 2017 Werksmans Incorporated trading as Werksmans Attorneys. All rights reserved.

# MEET THE AUTHOR



ROBYN  
ARMSTRONG

Title: Associate  
Office: Johannesburg  
Direct line: +27 (0)11 535 8333  
Fax: +27 (0)11 535 8530  
Email: [rarmstrong@werksmans.com](mailto:rarmstrong@werksmans.com)

Robyn Armstrong joined Werksmans Attorneys in 2015 as an Associate and specialises in International Tax Planning for corporates and wealthy individuals and families. Private Equity Deal Structuring, Tax Litigation, Alternative Dispute Resolution and Settlements.

Robyn graduated with a LLB (cum laude) at the University of Pretoria.

## > Keeping you close for 100 years

The Corporate & Commercial Law Firm  
[www.werksmans.com](http://www.werksmans.com)

*A member of the LEX Africa Alliance*

## ABOUT WERKSMANS ATTORNEYS

Established in the early 1900s, Werksmans Attorneys is a leading South African corporate and commercial law firm, serving multinationals, listed companies, financial institutions, entrepreneurs and government.

Operating in Gauteng and the Western Cape, the firm is connected to an extensive African legal alliance through LEX Africa.

LEX Africa was established in 1993 as the first and largest African legal alliance and offers huge potential for Werksmans' clients seeking to do business on the continent by providing a gateway to Africa.

With a formidable track record in mergers and acquisitions, banking and finance, and commercial litigation and dispute resolution, Werksmans is distinguished by the people, clients and work that it attracts and retains.

Werksmans' more than 200 lawyers are a powerful team of independent-minded individuals who share a common service ethos. The firm's success is built on a solid foundation of insightful and innovative deal structuring and legal advice, a keen ability to understand business and economic imperatives and a strong focus on achieving the best legal outcome for clients.

